Statistics for Lawyers (LAW744-001-15C): Course Evaluation Report

 Course
 LAW744-001-15C

 Title
 Statistics for Lawyers

 Instructors
 Klick

 Evaluations
 10/10

Standard Questions Report

Students rated the Instructor and Course on the following questions. "5" is the highest ranking and "1" is the lowest ranking. Note: Totals that do not equal 100% are due to rounding.

Choice Questions		Statistic	1	2	3	4	5	Totals
1.	Organization of the course	Percent	0%	0%	10%	50%	40%	100%
		Responses	0	0	1	5	4	10
		Total Response (% of Enrollment)						100%
2.	Workload:	Percent	0%	0%	90%	10%	0%	100%
	1 - too light 3 - appropriate	Responses	0	0	9	1	0	10
	5 - too heavy	Total Response (% of Enrollment)						100%
3.	Satisfaction with course materials	Percent	0%	0%	50%	30%	20%	100%
		Responses	0	0	5	3	2	10
		Total Response (% of Enrollment)						100%
4.	Clarity and effectiveness of presentation	Percent	0%	0%	10%	30%	60%	100%
		Responses	0	0	10 /0	3	6	10070
		Total Response (% of Enrollment)	-	-	-	-	-	100%
5.	Instructor's knowledge of the subject matter and	Percent	0%	0%	0%	10%	00%	100%
	identification of underlying principles and issues	Responses	0%	0 %	0 %	10-70	90-% Q	100%
		Total Response (% of Enrollment)	Ū	0	0	-	5	100%
6.	Would you recommend that other students take this	Deveent	00/	00/	100/	500/	400/	1000/
	course?	Percent	0%	0%	10%	50%	40%	100%
	1 - Would not recommend 3 - Would recommend	Total Bosponso	0	0	1	Э	4	100%
	5 - Highly recommend	(% of Enrollment)						100 /0
7.	Overall effectiveness of instructor - Jonathan Klick	Percent	0%	0%	0%	40%	60%	100%
		Responses	0	0	0	4	6	10
		Total Response (% of Enrollment)						100%
8.	Overall effectiveness of course	Percent	0%	0%	10%	40%	50%	100%
		Responses	0	0	1	4	5	10
		Total Response						100%

Essay Questions

9. Is there any special preparation or background necessary to take this course?

• No Answer

- No. Professor Klick, and the book, cover everything you need to know about Statistics. The rest is simple intuition and some legal reasoning.
 Although Prof. Klick try to explain as plainly as possible, to say frankly, it will be strongly encouraged to get familiarized with basic concepts of statistics and probability (preferably, prior to the beginning of the course). For some of the students (including myself), even some basic tools treated in the classes are by no means easy to understand/utilize. The designated textbook is somehow plain, but it omits some basic explanations. Students who are not familiar with the basics need to study them outside of the classes.
- No Answer
 No Answer
- No, there is no special preparation or background necessary to take this course. Having a background in statistics is helpful though.
- No

• No Answer

- I wish I was more confident with math, but I don't think it's really necessary.
- No Answer

10. Comment on the instructor's stimulation of interest in the subject matter and independent thought.

- Professor Klick made the content accessible to lawyers. I had to deal with some of the issues he talked about at my judicial internship while taking the course. I felt more prepared to help with Daubert analysis.
- No Answer No Answer
- Professor Klick used real-world examples to help us understand how and why Statistics is relevant in law.
- Prof. Klick's explanation was always logical (or as logical as theoretically possible), and he often consciously clarified to what extent we can be logical. I think this was important for us lawyers, who generally do not have special background of statistics, to deal with scientific evidence and other issues related to statistics, and provided fruitful perspective to critically review judicial contentions.
- No Answer
- No Answer
- No Answer No Answer
- He had great examples for all of the topics we covered. He was cool, normal, and easy to listen to.

11. Comment on the instructor with respect to attitude toward students and accessibility outside of class.

- No Answer
- Professor Klick is always happy to chat after class.
- No Answer • I think he'd be accessible. I hadn't tried.
- No Answer
- Prof. Klick was open and frank to students.
 No Answer
- No Answer
- Professor Klick is approachable and easy to understand.
- Very approachable.

12. Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the course. How could it be improved?

. I'm still not entirely sure what the exam will look like, but I think the themes are clear. I think the overall goal of the course is to have an understanding of the underlying math as a way to analyze research created by experts.

- No Answer
- Actually, even basic tools treated in the classes were by no means easy to understand/utilize. However, if you complement your lack of the
- background/basic understanding in some way, the lecture itself is organized and would be enjoyable.
- No Answer
 No Answer
- No Answer
- Great as is.
 The textbook is just terrible. I'd much rather pay more to get a decent textbook with sample problems.
- No Answei
- Professor Klick is funny and engaging, and he makes a pretty dry subject easily digestible. The problem sets are a great way to stay on track during the semester and to study for the exam.

13. Other comments:

- I liked the class and feel like it was relevant
- . There are no past exams to practice from so that makes exam prep difficult.
- I really appreciated how we didn't focus too much on the math and more on how it can be applied.
- No Answer
- n/a
- No Answer No Answer
- No Answer
- No Answer
- No Answer

Percentage Questions

14. Please note the approximate percentage of classes that you attended.

Mean	Median	Std. Dev.
94	93	5

15. Please note the approximate percentage of classes for which you had read the assigned materials in advance.

Mean	Median	Std. Dev.
78	90	23

Category Relative Statistics

LAW744-001-15C				Upper-Level				Upper-L	Upper-Level Weighted By Course			
	Mean	Median	Std. Dev.		Mean	Median	Std. Dev.		Mean	Std. Dev.		
1.	4.3	4.0	0.7	1.	4.3	5.0	0.9	1.	4.3	0.8		
2.	3.1	3.0	0.3	2.	3.4	3.0	0.8	2.	3.4	0.6		
3.	3.7	3.5	0.8	3.	4.1	4.0	0.9	3.	4.1	0.9		
4.	4.5	5.0	0.7	4.	4.1	4.0	1.0	4.	4.2	0.9		
5.	4.9	5.0	0.3	5.	4.7	5.0	0.6	5.	4.8	0.4		
6.	4.3	4.0	0.7	6.	4.1	4.0	1.1	6.	4.2	0.9		
7.	4.6	5.0	0.5	7.	4.4	5.0	0.9	7.	4.4	0.7		
8.	4.4	4.5	0.7	8.	4.2	4.0	0.9	8.	4.2	0.8		
14.	94.0	92.5	4.6	14.	92.6	95.0	12.3	14.	93.7	7.9		
15.	77.5	90.0	23.1	15.	84.1	90.0	21.4	15.	84.7	16.8		

Results are calculated using the evaluation responses for LAW744-001-15C only.

Results are calculated using the evaluation responses for all Upper-Level courses. Each response has equal weight, so courses with more enrolled students have a greater effect on the results than courses with fewer students.

Results are calculated by first calculating a result for each Upper-Level course and then equally weighting each of those results together to form the final result. This means that each course has equal weight in the results.